

OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
DELHI RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT 2001

PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION
Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi

Date of hearing: 19th April, 2018

Appellant : **Shri Anil Dutt Sharma**

Competent Authority : **Deputy Commissioner (Shahdara North Zone),
East DMC,**
Nigam Bhawan, Keshav Chowk,
Near Shyam Lal College,
Shahdara, Delhi – 110032.

Appeal No. : 660/2016/PGC/DRI/NDMC
Application filed on : 07/11/2016
Response of Competent Authority : No response
Appeal filed on : 03/01/2017
First hearing in PGC on : 04/05/2017

1. Brief facts of the Appeal

Shri Anil Dutt Sharma sought information, during November, 2016, from the Competent Authority under Delhi RTI Act, 2001, i.e. Deputy Commissioner (Shahdara North Zone), East DMC, seeking information on total 7 queries.

Shri Anil Dutt Sharma received no reply from the Office of Deputy Commissioner (Shahdara North Zone), East DMC. Hence, he filed an Appeal during January, 2017, before the Appellate Authority / PGC, under Section 7 of the Delhi Right to Information Act, 2001.

2. Proceedings in the Public Grievances Commission

The PGC has so far convened three hearings on 4th May, 2017, 17th August, 2017 and 19th April, 2018. At the hearing on 19/04/2018, the attendance was as follows :

Appellant : Absent

Respondent : Shri S.D. Tomar, EE, Shahdara North Zone, East DMC

3. **Relevant facts emerging during the hearing**

3.1 At the last hearing held on 17/08/2017, the directions of PGC were as follows:

“Since the replies furnished in respect of query nos. 1, 4, 5, 6 & 7 is satisfactory, no further action is required to be taken in respect of these queries.

The Competent Authority is directed to furnish revised replies in respect of query nos. 2 & 3, as advised at para nos. 3.4 & 3.5 above.

The reply should be furnished to the appellant under the signatures of Competent Authority, properly stamped, having the name of the Competent Authority (documents, if any, appended with the reply should be certified either by the Competent Authority or an official deputed by the Competent Authority).

A senior representative shall be deputed to attend the next hearing in this appeal case, with a reply (in duplicate)”.

3.2 At today’s hearing on 19/04/2018, the appellant was not present. Shri S.D. Tomar, EE Shahdara North Zone, East DMC, had informed that as already informed, in respect of query No. 2, the details of properties against which action is taken is uploaded on the official website i.e. www.mcdoline.gov.in and the appellant can easily view the same on the website. In respect of Query No. 3, he clarified that no list of properties against which action has been taken either as per policy or contrary to the policy is maintained separately in the department.

4. **Directions of the PGC**

In view of the clarification in para 3.2 above, Appellate Authority / Member (PGC) is of the opinion that since the department had provided satisfactory information in respect of all the queries raised by the appellant, the present appeal case is ordered to be closed before the Appellate Authority / Member (PGC).

(SUDHIR YADAV)
APPELLATE AUTHORITY / MEMBER (PGC)

Copy to:

1. Deputy Commissioner (Shahdara North Zone), East DMC, Nigam Bhawan, Keshav Chowk, Near Shyam Lal College, Shahdara, Delhi – 110 032.
2. Shri Anil Dutt Sharma